STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	Fil	e No. 12 CVS
GUILFORD County		ral Court of Justice uperior Court Division
Name of Plaintiff DAPHNE M. CRADDOCK		
Address c/o Norman B. Smith, PO Box 990	CIVIL SUMMO	ONS
City, State, Zip Greensboro, NC 27402-0990 VERSUS	ALIAS AND PLURIES S	G.S. 1A-1, Rules 3, 4
Name of Defendant(s) LINCOLN NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, or Lincoln National Corporation, or Lincoln Life and Annuity Distributors, Inc., or Lincoln Financial Investment Services Corporation	Date Original Summons Issued Date(s) Subsequent Summon(es) Issued	
To Each Of The Defendant(s) Named Below:		
Name And Address of Defendant 1 LINCOLN NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY c/o Mr. Robert Bohner, Junior Vice President and Senior Counsel 115 Radnor Chester Road Radnor, PA 19087	Name And Address of Defendant 2	
A Civil Action Has Been Commenced Against You!		
 Serve a copy of your written answer to the complaint of after you have been served. You may serve your ansplaintiff's last known address, and File the original of the written answer with the Clerk of lift you fail to answer the complaint, the plaintiff will apply to 	wer by delivering a copy to the plaintiff of	by mailing it to the
Name And Address of Plaintiff's Attorney (if None, Address of Plaintiff)	Date Issued Time	
Norman B. Smith Smith, James, Rowlett & Cohen, LLP PO Box 990	Signature AM PM	
Greensboro, NC 27402-0990	Deputy CSC Assistant CSC	Clerk of Superior Court
ENDORSEMENT This Summons was originally issued on the date indicated above and returned not served. At the request of the plaintiff, the time within which this Summons must be served is extended sixty (60) days.	Date of Endorsement Time Signature Deputy CSC Assistant CSC	AM PM Clerk of Superior Court
NOTE TO PARTIES: Many Counties have MANDATORY ARBITRA \$15,000 or less are heard by an arbitrator bel mandatory arbitration, and, if so, what proced	fore a trial. The parties will be notified if this cas	amount in controversy is se is assigned for
AOC-CV-100, Rev. 10/01 © 2001 Administrative Office of the Courts	(Over)	EXHIBIT

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE NORTH CAROLINA SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 2012 JUN 13 CPYS 3-51 GUILFORD COUNTY GUILFUE & COURTY, C.S.C. DAPHNE M. CRADDOCK, Plaintiff, COMPLAINT LINCOLN NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, or Lincoln National Corporation, or Lincoln Life and Annuity Distributors, Inc., or Lincoln Financial Investment Services Corporation,

Plaintiff, complaining of defendant, alleges and says:

Defendant.

- Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Greensboro, Guilford County, North
 Carolina.
- Defendant is a corporation with its principal office and place of business in Radnor, Pennsylvania, but which has a major place of business in Greensboro, Guilford County, North Carolina.
- 3. Plaintiff is a former employee of defendant. Plaintiff came to work for defendant's predecessor Pilot Life Insurance Company on June 19, 1969, and she continued her employment with defendant and its predecessors until July 26, 2011, when she was discharged by defendant.
- 4. At all material times hereto, defendant has had more than 15 employees and more than 20 employees in each of 20 weeks in the current or preceding calendar year.

- 5. At all material times hereto, plaintiff performed her job duties in an exemplary way, carried out all directions from defendant, abided by all defendant's policies and rules, performed in a manner that exceeded defendant's reasonable expectations of plaintiff's work, and abided by the law.
- 6. Plaintiff was born on March 7, 1952, and was 59 years of age at the time of her discharge.
- 7. Plaintiff suffers from the results of a massive brain injury in an automobile wreck that occurred in 1980. Plaintiff was out of work on account of her injuries for more than a year following the date of the wreck. Defendant's predecessor continued plaintiff as its employee, and reasonably accommodated both her lengthy absence and her disabilities upon her return to work.
- 8. At the time of discharge, plaintiff's disabilities consisted of short-term memory impairment, somewhat limited ability in reading and writing, limited keyboard speed, and weakness of eye muscles.
- 9. Throughout her career with defendant and its predecessors, plaintiff was assigned to do filing and file maintenance, and to process mail.
- 10. With minimal additional training and orientation, plaintiff satisfactorily could have performed a number of other jobs that defendant had available at its Greensboro, North Carolina, center.
- 11. In addition to the benefits from reasonable accommodation to plaintiff by defendant and its predecessors, plaintiff also compensated for her disabilities by being extremely diligent, having essentially a perfect attendance policy, being a loyal advocate

and supporter of her employer, and being regarding by all of her fellow employees as a good friend and a person of unblemished moral character.

12. Beginning sometime in the year 2010, defendant embarked on a strategy and scheme to bring about the discharge of plaintiff. This strategy and scheme was not founded upon any reasonable or legitimate business interest of defendant, but was purely a pretext for discrimination against defendant on the basis of her disability and her age. The strategy and scheme included an oral warning given to plaintiff in March, 2011, falsely claiming that she had been making mistakes, a written warning in June, 2011, falsely stating that she needed to improve to become more efficient, and a final written warning on July 26, 2011, the date of plaintiff's discharge, which should have entitled plaintiff to coaching and other performance enhancing measures, but none of this was allowed by defendant, which discharged plaintiff the very same day as she was given the final written warning.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DISCRIMINATION BASED ON DISABILITY

- 13. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 12, and incorporates the same by reference herein as if again fully set forth.
- 14. At all material times hereto, plaintiff has been a qualified individual with a disability, who with reasonable accommodation, could perform the essential functions of her position of employment, as provided in 42 U.S.C. § 12111(a).
- 15. Defendant's dismissal of plaintiff can only be explained on the basis of defendant's intent to discriminate against plaintiff on the basis of her disability in violation

of 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a) or on the basis of her age as referred to in the second cause of action hereof.

- 16. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination against defendant with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on August 16, 2011, with respect to the above-described discrimination on the basis of disability, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a), incorporating by reference 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(b) and (e).
- 17. On April 30, 2012, plaintiff received from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission her right to sue defendant, as provided in 42 U.S.C. § 12117, incorporating by reference 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1).
- 18. Proximately and directly as a result of defendant's discrimination against her, as set forth above, plaintiff has sustained injuries and damages, including loss of income and valuable fringe benefits from employment, pain and suffering, inconvenience, and mental anguish, for which plaintiff is entitled to be compensated by defendant in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 1981a(a)(2), (b)(3).
- 19. Plaintiff has no plain and adequate remedy at law, and is entitled to equitable relief from this court, consisting of an order enjoining and restraining defendant to reinstate plaintiff to her former position of employment, and thereafter not to discriminate against her on the basis of her disability, or alternatively, to pay her front pay in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 12117, incorporating by reference 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(g)(1).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR AGE DISCRIMINATION

- 20. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 12, and incorporates the same by reference herein as if again fully set forth.
- 21. Proximately and directly as a result of plaintiff's age, defendant terminated her employment. Defendant's termination of plaintiff's employment was an intentional act of age discrimination in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 623(a)(1).
- 22. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on August 16, 2011, with respect to the above-described discrimination on the basis of age, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 626(d).
- 23. On April 30, 2012, plaintiff received from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission her right to sue defendant, as provided in 29 U.S.C. § 626(e).
- 24. Proximately and directly as a result of defendant's discrimination against plaintiff on the basis of her age, she has sustained injuries and damages, including loss of income and valuable fringe benefits for which plaintiff is entitled to be compensated by defendant in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 626(b)(1), incorporating by reference 29 U.S.C. § 626(b).
- 25. Plaintiff has no plain and adequate remedy at law and is entitled to equitable relief from this court, consisting of an order enjoining and restraining defendant to reinstate plaintiff to her former position of employment and thereafter not to discriminate against her on the basis of her age, or alternatively, to pay her front pay, in accordance with the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 626(b)(1), incorporating by reference 29 U.S.C. § 217.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that she have and recover her damages of defendant, that plaintiff's judgment bear such interest as is provided by law, that plaintiff be reinstated as an employee of defendant, or alternatively, that plaintiff recover front pay from defendant, that the costs of this action be taxed against defendant, that plaintiff recover her attorney's fees and expenses of litigation from defendant pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 626(b), incorporating by reference 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and 42 U.S.C. § 12117, incorporating by reference 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(k), that all issues of fact be tried by jury, and that plaintiff have such other and further relief as to the court may seem just and proper.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Norman B. Smith

Smith, James, Rowlett & Cohen, LLP

PO Box 990

Greensboro, NC 27402-0990

Tel: (336) 274-2992 Fax: (336) 274-8490

Email: normanbsmith@earthlink.net

NCSB 4962

SMITH, JAMES, ROWLETT & COHEN. GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA 27402-0990 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW POST OFFICE BOX 990



ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED

Trans. : Libroin National Life Enstrance Co. Junior Vice Pres. & Senior Counsel 115 Radnor Chester Road c'o Mr. Robert Bohner Radnor, PA 19087

10 00 10 US POSTAGE